

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/600,624	24 06/20/2003		Gabriel Bernaz	2-0153-017	7196	
803	7590	07/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STURM & 206 SIXTH			BUI, V	BUI, VY Q		
SUITE 121		•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES, IA 50309-4076				3734		
				DATE MAILED: 07/18/2000	DATE MAILED: 07/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	10/600,624	BERNAZ, GABRIEL		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Vy Q. Bui	3734		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	arch 2006.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical strength 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/20/2003. S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a disclosure in the specification to asserted utility or a well established utility.

Claim 14 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a mechanism to support the asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to make the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 8-9, 16, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ignon-6,629,983.

As to claims 1-3, 8-9, 16, and 19-20, Ignon-'983 (Figs. 1-3, 7-9; col. 4, lines 46-64, for example) discloses a device for dermabrasion comprising handle/housing 34, support surface

36c (Fig. 7), substantial curved abrasive surface 94 oscilating within support surface 36c and inherently a method of dermabrasion as recited in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-7, 10-11, 13-15, 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ignon-6,629,983.

As to claims 4-6, Ignon-'983 substantially discloses the claimed invention, except for the interchangeable abrasive pieces or a double face abrasive piece or a massage surface.

However, these configurations are well known in the art. For example, Ignon-'983 (Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 6-12 and Fig. 3, col. 3, line 45-52) discloses removable interchangeable abrasive pieces 54 or 58 or 61, and removable double face abrasive piece with abrasive surfaces 54 and 67 (Fig. 3). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide any embodiment of Ignon-'983 the features as recited in the claims as these features are well known.

As to claims 7, 13 and 15, Ignon-'983 substantially discloses the claimed invention, except for the U-shaped element of support surface. However, changing shape of an element and orientation of an element of a device are within level of one of ordinary skill in the art. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Ignon-'983 device with a U-shaped support element as this would merely involve a change in shape of the support element and an

inclined axis of oscillation relative to the axis of housing as this would merely involve a change in orientation of an element of Ignon-'983 device.

As to claims 10-11, Ignon-'983 substantially discloses the claimed invention, except for the speed variation of oscillation between 0.5 to 200 oscillations per minute. However, vary an oscillation speed in an oscillation range for more choices of dermabrasion is well known in the art. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Ignon-'983 device with variation of oscillation speed as recited in the claims to provide more choices for dermabrasion.

As to claim 14, Ignon-'983 substantially discloses the claimed invention, except for a to-and-fro motion as recited in the claim. However, providing a to-and-fro motion to have a vibration effect in a device is well known. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide Ignon-'983 device with a to-and-fro motion to have a vibration effect in the device as this motion is well known in the art.

As to claim 18, it is well known to have a process as recited in the claim with many well known electromagnetic and laser device available in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3734

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3734

07/10/2006